



Disclosure pursuant to Art. 453 CRR (Credit Risk Mitigation Techniques)

The Austrian Financial Market Authority (FMA) and Oesterreichische Nationalbank (OeNB) have assessed UniCredit Bank Austria AG for the use of own estimates for volatility adjustments (comprehensive method) for credit risk mitigation techniques.

By FMA decree dated 12 June 2008, UniCredit Bank Austria AG has been authorized to use its own volatility estimates (comprehensive method) for credit risk mitigation techniques. This permission was given without limitation.

Qualitative disclosure as of 31 December 2014

UniCredit Group, consistent with the "Revised Framework of International Convergence of Capital Measures and Rules" (Basel 2 / 3), is firmly committed to satisfying the requirements for recognition of Credit Risk Mitigation (hereafter "CRM") Techniques for regulatory capital purposes, according to the different approaches adopted (Standardized, F-IRB or A-IRB).

In this regard, specific projects have been completed and actions have been carried out for implementing the Group's internal regulations and for bringing processes and IT systems into compliance. Considering the Group's presence in different countries, implementation measures have been made in accordance with local regulations and the requirements of the supervisory authorities in the countries to which the individual entities belong.

The Group has acknowledged the regulatory requirement with specific internal Guidelines issued by the Holding Company, in compliance with the Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms" ("CRR") and amending Regulation (EU) No. 648/2012 Such Guidelines pursue several objectives:

- to encourage collateral and guarantees' optimal management;
- to maximize the mitigating effect of collateral and guarantees on defaulted loans;
- to attain positive effects on Group capital requirements, ensuring that local CRM practices meet minimum "Basel 2 / 3" requirements;
- to define general rules for eligibility, valuation, monitoring and management of collateral (funded protection) and guarantees (unfunded protection) and to detail special rules and requirements for specific collateral/guarantees.

Collateral/guarantee is accepted only to support loans and they cannot serve as a substitute for the borrower's ability to meet obligations. For this reason, they have to be evaluated in the credit application along with the assessment of the creditworthiness and the repayment capacity of the borrower.

In the credit risk mitigation technique assessment, UniCredit group emphasizes the importance of the "legal certainty" requirement for all collaterals and guarantees, as well as their suitability.

Legal Entities put in place all necessary actions in order to:

- fulfill the respect of any contractual and legal requirements, and take all steps necessary to ensure the enforceability of the collateral/guarantee arrangements under the applicable law;
- conduct sufficient legal review confirming the enforceability of the collateral/guarantee arrangements on all parties and in all relevant jurisdictions.

Legal Entities conduct such review as necessary to ensure enforceability for the whole life of the underlying collateralized credit exposure. On the other hand, suitability has always to be granted. Any collateral/guarantee can be considered adequate if it is consistent with the underlying credit exposure and, for guarantees, when there are no relevant risks towards the protection provider.

In general, operative instructions and related processes are particularly severe, aiming at granting the





formal perfection of each collateral/guarantee acquired.

Collateral management assessments and Credit Risk Mitigation verifications compliance are performed by the Legal Entities, specifically as part of the wider process of internal validation on rating systems and of IRB methods roll-out activities.

Policies and processes for, and an indication of the extent to which the Group makes use of on- and off-balance sheet netting

In general, netting agreements on balance sheet of reciprocal credit exposures between the Bank and its counterparty are considered eligible if they are legally effective and enforceable in all relevant jurisdictions, including in the event of insolvency or bankruptcy of counterparty, and if they meet the following operational conditions:

- provide for the netting of gains and losses on transactions cleared under the master agreement so that a single net amount is owed by one party to the other;
- fulfill the minimum requirements for recognition of financial collateral (valuation requirements and monitoring).

In general, Group Entities can use netting agreements only if they are able at any time to determine the position netting value (assets and liabilities with the same counterparty that are subject to the netting). monitoring and controlling debts, credit and netting value.

The Group makes use of netting instruments mainly with OTC derivatives, repos and securities lending transactions where the counterparties are - generally - Financial Institutions. The primary objective of the bank is to cover with netting agreements as many transactions as possible in order to reduce utilization of credit lines and to release the amount of required regulatory capital. In this regard, a special policy ("Collateral Management for OTC derivatives and Repo and securities lending business") has been issued aiming at defining an efficient and comprehensive framework for collateral management in order to safeguard the bank from avoidable risk-taking.

The effectiveness of a collateral agreement of each individual counterparty relationship depends on the selection of appropriate assets qualifying as eligible collateral. Certain collateral types may present inherent risks related to the price volatility, the liquidity and the settlement of the asset. In addition, the collateral assets must be assessed in the context of the collateral providing counterparty (double default risk). The mentioned policy details the eligibility criteria for both OTC derivatives and Repo/securities Lending Transactions, and defines the requirements in terms of documentation, requiring on a general base market standard agreements such as ISDA Master Agreement, Global Master Repurchase Agreement or European Master Agreement.

Policies and processes for collateral evaluation and management

UniCredit group has implemented a clear and robust system for managing the credit risk mitigation techniques, governing the entire process for evaluation, monitoring and management of collaterals.

The assessment of the collateral value is based on the current market price or the estimated amount which the underlying asset could reasonably be liquidated for (i.e. pledged financial instrument or mortgaged real estate at fair value).





In detail, for financial instruments, valuation methods are different depending on their type:

- securities listed on a recognized stock exchange, are evaluated according to the market price (the price of the most recent trading session);
- securities not listed on a recognized stock exchange, have to be based on pricing models based on market data:
- undertakings for Collective Investments and mutual funds are based on the price for the units that are publicly quoted daily.

Market price of pledged securities is adjusted by applying haircuts for market price and foreign exchange volatility according to regulatory requirements.

In case of currency mismatch between the credit facility and the collateral, an additional haircut is applied. Possible mismatches between the maturity of the exposure and that of the collateral are also considered in the adjusted collateral value.

The current models in place within the Group are based both on pre-defined prudential haircuts and internally-estimated haircuts. The methodological approach provides that the hedging value has to be estimated for each financial instrument on the basis of its market value (i.e. mark-to-market) adjusted with a haircut that has to consider the intrinsic riskiness according to the different factors (price risk, time of ownership and liquidity risk).

The main Entities of the Group are also provided with tools for the automatic evaluation of the mark-tomarket of the pledged securities, granting the constant monitoring of the financial collateral values.

For the valuation of real estate collateral, specific processes and procedures ensure that the property is valued by an independent expert at or less than the market value. For the Legal Entities operating in Austria, Germany and Italy, systems for the periodic monitoring and revaluation of the real estate serving as collateral, based on statistical methods, adopting internal databases or provided by external information providers, are in place.

The other types of collateral (such as a pledge of movable assets) are subject to specific prudential haircuts, applied through evaluation. Monitoring activities strictly depend on the collateral characteristics. In general, pledges on goods are treated with caution.

Description of the main types of collateral taken by the Group Entities

The collateral accepted in support of credit lines granted by the Group's Legal Entities, primarily includes real estate, both residential and commercial (above 75% of the stock) and financial instruments collateral, (including debt securities, equities, and units of Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS)) (around 15%). The remaining part includes pledges on other assets (e.g. pledged goods) and other collaterals (e.g. movable properties).

However, in order to be considered eligible for risk mitigation, the general requirements according to Supervisory Regulations must be met, along with the specific requirements for the approach adopted for purposes of calculating regulatory capital for the individual counterparty/exposure (Standardized, F-IRB, A-IRB), in accordance with the legal framework of the country in question.

The Parent Company provides specific guidelines for the eligibility of all kind of collaterals and each Legal Entity defines the list of eligible collaterals, according to uniform Group methods and procedures and in compliance with all domestic legal and supervisory requirements and local peculiarities.

Main types of guarantors and credit derivative counterparties and their creditworthiness

Personal guarantees can be accepted as elements complementary and accessory to the granting of loans, for which the risk mitigation element is the additional security for repayment. Their use is widespread within the Group, though their characteristics differ among the different local markets.





Personal guarantees provided by one or more individuals are very common, even if they are not considered eligible for credit risk mitigation purposes. Less frequently, the risk of insolvency is covered by personal guarantees provided by other legal entities (usually the holding company or other companies belonging to the same economic group as the borrower), or by financial institutions and insurance companies.

At consolidated level, personal guarantees are provided by banks (around 25% of the stock), government/central banks and other public entities (around 15%) and other subjects (60%). The last category includes the personal guarantees provided by physical persons, whose eligibility for CRM depends on the approach used by the different Legal Entities.

Credit derivative protection providers are nearly only banks and institutional counterparties. The list of eligible protection providers depends on the specific approach adopted by each single Legal Entity. Specifically, under the Standardized Approach, eligible protection providers pertain to a restricted list of counterparts, such as Central Government and Central Banks, public sector entities and regional and local authorities, multilateral development banks, supervised institutions and corporate entities that have a credit assessment by an eligible ECAI (External Credit Assessment Institution) associated with credit quality step 2 or above. Legal Entities adopting IRB-A may recognize guarantees provided that the relevant minimum requirements are satisfied and, particularly, provided that the Legal Entity can evaluate the protection provider risk profile at the time that the guarantee is established and over its entire duration.

Before a personal guarantee is acquired, the protection provider (or the protection seller in case of credit default swap) has to be assessed in order to measure his/her solvency and risk profile. The hedging effect of guarantees/credit derivatives for the purpose of credit protection depends basically on the protector's creditworthiness, and, during the underwriting phase, the economic capabilities of the protection provider have to be evaluated.

Information about market or credit risk concentrations under the credit risk mitigation instruments used

There is concentration risk when the major part of Group-wide collateral financial assets (at portfolio level) is concentrated in a small number of collateral types, protection instruments, or specific providers of collaterals or sectors or when there is a lack of proportion in the volume of collaterals taken.

Such concentration is monitored and controlled by the following processes/mechanisms:

- In case of personal guarantees/credit derivatives, a contingent liability (indirect risk) is charged to the protection provider. In the evaluation of the credit application, a secondary commitment is added to the guarantor and it is reflected in the guarantor's total credit exposure as deemed competent and approved in accordance with the bank's system of authority;
- In case the protection provider, directly or indirectly, is a Central Bank or a Sovereign country, a specific credit limit has to be instructed and, if the guarantor is a foreign subject, a country limit must be obtained, if necessary.





Quantitative disclosure as of 31 December 2014

Art. 453 (g) CRR: for institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under the Standardised Approach or the IRB Approach, separately for each exposure class, the total exposure (after, where applicable, on- or off-balance sheet netting) that is covered by guarantees or credit derivatives. For the equity exposure class, this requirement applies to each of the approaches provided in Article 155.

IRB Approach			
Exposures with	Financial collaterals	Other collaterals	Guarantees and credit derivatives
	in EUR '000	in EUR '000	in EUR '000
Exposures to central governments and central banks	310.627	94	1.971.333
Exposures to institutions	1.521.040	39.939	839.903
Exposures to public sector entities	94	19.397	64.036
Exposures to corporates and specialized lendings	3.022.221	16.743.645	6.157.095
Specialized lendings	90.793	5.310.817	64.977
Others	2.931.428	11.432.828	6.092.118
Retail exposures	466.662	9.558.231	33.243
Exposures secured with residential real estate property	138.249	8.974.159	5.833
Qualified revolving retail exposures	0		143
Other retail exposures	328.413	584.072	27.267
Total	5.320.644	26.361.306	9.065.610

Standardized approach			
Exposures with	Financial collaterals	Other collaterals	Guarantees and credit derivatives
	in EUR '000	in EUR '000	in EUR '000
Exposures to central governments or central banks	289.082	-	-
Exposures to institutions	9.914	-	2.083
Exposures to regional governments or local authorities	54.905	-	41.990
Exposures to administrative bodies and non-commercial undertakings	8.145	-	2.458.945
Exposures to multilateral development banks	-	-	-
Exposures to international organisations	-	-	-
Exposures to corporates	1.044.028	3.546	1.704.716
Retail exposures	222.539	30.857	339.088
Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	592.634	-	-
Exposures in the form of units or shares in collective investment undertakings ('CIUs')	-	-	-
Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property	477	-	-
Exposures in the form of covered bonds	-	-	83
Exposures in default	30.628	2.430	112.001
Exposures associated with particularly high risk	-	-	-
Equity exposures	-	-	-
Other items	-	-	-
Total	2.252.352	36.833	4.658.906